

ement of these values. He invites any reader who disputes the accuracy of any of the values to provide him with improved information.

References are given for most of the values which have been significantly changed since the previous list.

#### References

- ALEXANDROV, Yu. A., VALAGUROV, A. M., MALISHEVSKI, E., MACHEKHINA, T. A. & SEDLAKOVA, L. N. (1968). *J. Inst. Nucl. Res.* P3, 4121.  
CHADWICK, B. M., JONES, B. W., SARNESKI, J. E., WILDE, H. J. & YERKESS, J. (1971). *Z. Kristallogr.* 134, 308.

- COOPER, M. J. (1970). Private communication.  
COPELY, J. R. D. (1970). *Acta Cryst.* A26, 376.  
COX, D. E. & MINKIEWICZ, Y. J. (1971). *Acta Cryst.* A27, 494.  
KOESTER, L. & KNOPF, K. (1971). *Z. Naturforsch.* 26a, 391.  
KREBS-LARSEN, F., LEHMANN, M. S. & SØTOFT, I. (1971). *Acta Chem. Scand.* 25, 1233.  
KROHN, V. E. & RINGO, G. R. (1966). *Phys. Rev.* 148, 1303.  
LOOPSTRA, B. J. & RIETVELD, H. M. (1969). *Acta Cryst.* B25, 787.  
MERIEL, P. (1970). *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, sér. B*, 270, 560.  
SHULL, C. G. (1968). *Phys. Rev. Letters*, 21, 1585.  
WANG, F. F. Y. (1970). *Acta Cryst.* A26, 377.

*Acta Cryst.* (1972). A28, 358

**Sixth-order elastic coefficients in cubic crystals.** By DAVID Y. CHUNG, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Howard University, Washington, D.C. 20001, U.S.A.

(Received 10 January 1972)

The sixth-order elastic coefficients have been enumerated by the method of symmetry operations. For  $n > 5$  the conjecture of Krishnamurty [*Acta Cryst.* (1963), 16, 839] that there should be  $(n^2 - 2n + 3)$   $n$ th-order elastic coefficients of a cubic crystal (with point group  $O_h$ ) was shown to be incorrect.

The numbers of independent elastic coefficients of order two and three for all crystal classes have been derived by Bhagavantam & Suryanarayana (1949) from the character method. By the method of reduction of a representation, Jahn (1949) obtained identical results. Recently Jahn's (1949) method has been extended to fourth- and fifth-order

Table 1. The 32 sixth-order elastic coefficients and their equivalence for a cubic crystal

- 111111=222222=333333  
111112=111113=122222=133333=222223=233333  
111122=111133=112222=113333=222233=223333  
111123=122223=123333  
111144=222255=333366  
111155=111166=222266=333344=333355=222244  
111222=111333=222333  
111223=111233=112223=112333=122233=122333  
111244=111344=122255=133366=222355=233366  
111255=111366=133344=222366=233355=122244  
111266=111355=122266=133355=222344=233344  
111456=222456=333456  
112233  
112244=112255=113344=113366=223355=223366  
112266=113355=223344  
112344=122355=123366  
112355=112366=122344=122366=123344=123355  
112456=113456=122456=133456=223456=233456  
114444=225555=336666  
114455=114466=224455=225566=334466=335566  
115555=116666=224444=226666=334444=335555  
115566=224466=334455  
123456  
124444=125555=134444=136666=235555=236666  
124455=235566=134466  
124466=125566=134455=135566=234455=234466  
126666=135555=234444  
144456=245556=345666  
145556=145666=244456=245666=344456=345556  
444444=555555=666666  
444455=444466=445555=446666=555566=556666  
445566

elastic coefficients by Krishnamurty & Gopala-Krishnamurty (1968), and to sixth- and seventh-order coefficients by Chung (1972). Krishnamurty (1963), in enumerating the forth-order elastic coefficients by the character method, has conjectured that the number of  $n$ th-order elastic coefficients, symmetric in all the  $n$  suffixes, of a cubic crystal ( $O_h$  point group) would be  $n^2 - 2n + 3$  ( $n \geq 2$ ); whereas for an isotropic solid ( $R_\infty^I$ ) would be  $n$ .

Krishnamurty & Appalanarasimham (1969) recently pointed out that there should not be  $n$   $n$ th-order elastic coefficients of an isotropic solid for  $n > 5$ . In this note, it is shown that the other conjecture, namely  $n^2 - 2n + 3$  constants for cubic crystals, does not hold true either for  $n > 5$ .

It is known that the elastic energy should be invariant with respect to the crystal symmetry operations. Using this principle Hearmon (1953) obtained the independent coefficients for all crystal classes. We applied the same method to sixth-order coefficients for a cubic crystal. The resulting 32 independent coefficients and their equivalence are given in Table 1.

One notices that the number of independent coefficients 32 is quite different from  $n^2 - 2n + 3 = 27$  for  $n = 6$  predicted by Krishnamurty (1963). However, it agrees very well with the group theoretical prediction of Chung (1972).

#### References

- BHAGAVANTAM, S. & SURYANAYANA, D. (1949). *Acta Cryst.* 2, 21.  
CHUNG, D. Y. (1972). *Acta Cryst.* In the press.  
HEARMON, R. F. S. (1953). *Acta Cryst.* 6, 331.  
JAHN, H. A. (1949). *Acta Cryst.* 2, 30.  
KRISHNAMURTY, T. S. G. (1963). *Acta Cryst.* 16, 839.  
KRISHNAMURTY, T. S. G. & APPALANARASIMHAM, V. (1969). *Acta Cryst.* A25, 638.  
KRISHNAMURTY, T. S. G. & GOPALA-KRISHNAMURTY, P. (1968). *Acta Cryst.* A24, 563.

OCT 24 1972